





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/496,408	02/02/2000	Hon Siu Shin	7414.0018	6158	
22852 7.	590 02/28/2003				
FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT & DUNNER LLP 1300 I STREET, NW			EXAMINER		
			BEX, PATRICIA K		
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			1743	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
			DATE MAILED: 02/28/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•	1				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	10				
Advisory Action	09/496,408	SHIN ET AL.	J				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
•	P. Kathryn Bex	1743					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 20 February 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]						
 a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). 	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	g date of the final reject HE FINAL REJECTION.	ion. See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	ion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bec raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	o issues which wer	e newly				
7.⊠ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b)☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed: <u>NONE</u> .							
Claim(s) objected to: NONE.							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8,10-28 and 40</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Exami	iner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)							
10. Other:							

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)



Application No. 09/496,408

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The introduction of the new limitation "the sample wells having closed sample well bottoms configured to contain a sample" introduces new issues in claim 1 and 19 that would require further consideration and/or search.

Supervisory Patent Examiner Technology Center 1700